

Evaluation of Driving Force for Farmland Landscape Heterogeneous Formation in Northern Foothills of Qinling Mountains under the Background of Urban Expansion

Shidong Liu¹, Binrui Xue^{2,*}

¹ Xi'an University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

² Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

*Corresponding Author

Keywords: Farmland landscape heterogeneous formation, Driving force evaluation, Urban expansion, Qinling mountains

Abstract: The research on the driving force of urban landscape pattern evolution has been an international research hotspot. This paper selects 5 administrative districts which are located to the north of Qinling mountains in Xi'an as the research object. Through the social economic data from 2013 to 2018 and the regional land use survey, analyzing the farmland landscape as the biggest changing area in the urban landscape use pattern. Using principal component analysis method to study the process of urbanization driving force and find the main influence factors of the farmland landscape change. The results show that urban social economic development and population growth are the main driving forces of farmland landscape change in the northern foothills of Qinling mountains in Xi'an. It indicates that the northern foothills of Qinling mountains in Xi'an is still in the stage of rapid development of urbanization, which has a high demand for landuse at the urban-rural junction, mainly converting agricultural land into industrial and residential land.

1. Introduction

The Qinling mountains are located in the central part of Shaanxi province in China. Xi'an, as the capital city of Shaanxi province, borders the Qinling mountains in the south of the city, so the urban development has a great impact on the Qinling mountain eco-system. Through the theory of heterogeneity in landscape ecology, this paper studies the evolution of landscape pattern between the northern foothills of Qinling mountains in Xi'an city in order to analyze the impact of urban development on the landscape ecosystem of Qinling mountains.

The formation of heterogeneous landscape is mainly influenced by natural factors, social and economic factors and policy factors. The influence of natural factors such as climate change on heterogeneous landscape is not negligible. Socio-economic factors such as the expansion of urbanization have a dramatic and rapid impact on heterogeneous landscapes. Policy factors such as urban planning are also important factors influencing the evolution of heterogeneous landscapes. Policy factors can sometimes completely change the landscape pattern in an area. For example, the change from farmland landscape type to factory landscape type is the result of government policy guidance. Compared with social and economic factors and policy factors, the impact of natural factors on landscape pattern develops slowly. In the context of rapid urbanization in China, the impact of natural factors on landscape pattern cannot be shown in a short period of time, while policy factors cannot be analyzed and expressed through quantitative methods. Therefore, the quantitative analysis of the influence of social and economic factors on the evolution of landscape pattern can more intuitively reveal the influence of urban expansion on urban suburban landscape.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area Selection

In this paper, the northern foothills of Qinling mountains in Xi'an city is selected as the research sample area for the following reasons: (1) Xi 'an is the city with the fastest urbanization development in the northern foothills of the Qinling mountains. Compared with other cities, urban area, population, industrial structure and economic development are more rapid, and the evolution of landscape pattern is more obvious and intuitive. (2) the urban development of Xi 'an is less influenced by natural factors, mainly by policy and social and economic factors. At the same time, the northern foothills of the Qinling mountains is more abundant in industry. Therefore, this paper adopts quantitative research method to reveal the mechanism of urbanization to the evolution of landscape pattern more intuitively.

2.2 Data Collection and Remote Sensing Impact Interpretation

The data sources used in this paper include SPOT5 remote sensing images from 2013 and 2018, 1:100,000 topographic map, xi 'an statistical yearbook and other literature. In this data processing, ERDAS IMAGINE9.1 and ARCGIS10.2 software was used to perform projection conversion, stitching and geometric correction of remote sensing images. Finally, remote sensing images of the northern foothills of Qinling mountains were obtained. The images are shown in Fig 1.



Fig.1 Remote Sensing Images of the Northern Foothills of the Qinling Mountains

2.3 Principal Component Analysis of Driving Force of Heterogeneous Landscape Evolution

Principal component analysis can reduce the number of variables, data information overlap, with less data information to reflect the maximum information of the original data. Therefore, it has been widely used in the study of driving force of land use and landscape dynamic change. Therefore, SPSS20.0 software was used in this paper to quantitatively analyze the driving factors of the heterogeneous landscape evolution in the study area by principal component analysis.

(a) Principle of principal component analysis method

Principal component analysis is to combine a number of variables with certain correlation into a new set of variables through linear combination. The information of the new set of variables and the original variables do not overlap each other, so as to avoid information overlap. In general, the original n indexes are combined linearly to form a new set of variables. It's expressed in terms of the variance of the original linear combination, and if the variance of the original linear combination is

larger, then the original linear combination contains more information. In general, among all linear combinations, the one with the largest variance should be the original linear combination, that is, the first-line combination has the largest variance, so we call the first-line combination the first principal component.

The core of principal component analysis is to reduce the dimension of variables by linear combination with the original variables and the solution of each principal component. Through the transformation of coordinates, the principal component analysis method makes linear combination of the original n correlated variables (x_i) after standardization and converts them into another set of unrelated variables (y_i), so as to obtain the mathematical model of principal component analysis:

The coefficients in Formula 1 should follow the following principles:

(ii) y_1 is the largest variance of all linear combinations, which is the first principal component,

followed by $y_3, y_4, y_5, \dots, y_n$

3. Result and Discussion

Before studying the driving force of heterogeneous landscape, it is necessary to determine the main landscape types in heterogeneous landscape. Due to the variety of heterogeneous landscape types in the region and the number of external driving factors, in order to accurately grasp the evolution law of heterogeneous landscape in the study area, it is necessary to select the most representative heterogeneous landscape patch types as the main research objects. From previous studies, it can be found that the dominant heterogeneous landscape in the study area is farmland landscape, and farmland landscape area changes most significantly. Therefore, this paper makes a quantitative analysis on the driving factors of farmland landscape change in some research areas.

(i) Driving force factor data of farmland landscape area change

Table 1 Driving Force Factor Data of Farmland Landscape Area Change

| Year | X ₁ | X ₂ | X ₃ | X ₄ | X ₅ | X ₆ | X ₇ | X ₈ |
|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2013 | 99199 | 38469 | 1811168.4 | 13173 | 122978 | 4109 | 25255 | 17514 |
| 2014 | 132044 | 62668 | 1091304.9 | 66294.9 | 175048 | 13231 | 123281 | 38536 |
| 2015 | 132570 | 86730 | 2356986.4 | 81397.1 | 607614 | 29031 | 491160 | 87423 |
| 2016 | 121496 | 81103 | 3311670.8 | 50728 | 770376 | 31323 | 624842 | 285717 |
| 2017 | 122759 | 83494 | 3654114.7 | 93951.3 | 897698 | 34930 | 483899 | 179097.3 |
| 2018 | 123769 | 85504 | 3713024.5 | 98427 | 988101 | 38887 | 729860 | 218814 |

Data source: Xi'an Statistical Yearbook

(ii) Correlation analysis of farmland landscape driving factor

The correlation analysis of 8 independent variables was carried out to calculate the correlation coefficient matrix of driving factors for the change of farmland landscape area. As can be seen from table 2, the correlation between non-agricultural population and GDP of primary industry, gross industrial output and GDP, and GDP and GDP of the three industries is very strong, with the correlation coefficient as high as 0.947, 0.952, 0.978, 0.951 and 0.856, indicating that there is a strong relationship between variables, and it is feasible to use principal component analysis method.

Table 2 the Correlation Coefficient Matrix of the Driving Factors

| | X ₁ | X ₂ | X ₃ | X ₄ | X ₅ | X ₆ | X ₇ | X ₈ |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| X ₁ | 1.000 | 0.738 | 0.041 | 0.753 | 0.337 | 0.521 | 0.428 | 0.203 |
| X ₂ | 0.738 | 1.000 | 0.684 | 0.857 | 0.864 | 0.947 | 0.896 | 0.700 |
| X ₃ | 0.041 | 0.684 | 1.000 | 0.534 | 0.952 | 0.870 | 0.865 | 0.863 |
| X ₄ | 0.753 | 0.857 | 0.534 | 1.000 | 0.743 | 0.827 | 0.687 | 0.415 |
| X ₅ | 0.337 | 0.864 | 0.952 | 0.743 | 1.000 | 0.978 | 0.951 | 0.856 |
| X ₆ | 0.521 | 0.947 | 0.870 | 0.827 | 0.978 | 1.000 | 0.962 | 0.820 |
| X ₇ | 0.428 | 0.896 | 0.865 | 0.687 | 0.951 | 0.962 | 1.000 | 0.880 |
| X ₈ | 0.203 | 0.700 | 0.863 | 0.415 | 0.856 | 0.820 | 0.880 | 1.000 |

It can be seen from table 3 that the cumulative contribution rate of the first and second principal components is 94.711%, which can explain most of the original variable information. Therefore, the selection of the two principal components completely meets the requirements.

Table 3 Principal Component Characteristic Root Value and Contribution Rate

| Component | Initial Eigenvalues | | | Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings | | | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings | | |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Total | % of Variance | Cumul active % | Total | % of Variance | Cumul active% | Total | % of Variance | Cumul active% |
| 1 | 6.186 | 77.329 | 77.329 | 6.186 | 77.329 | 77.329 | 4.752 | 59.404 | 59.404 |
| 2 | 1.391 | 17.383 | 94.711 | 1.391 | 17.383 | 94.711 | 2.825 | 35.308 | 94.711 |
| 3 | 0.318 | 3.969 | 98.680 | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0.072 | 0.895 | 99.575 | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0.034 | 0.425 | 100.00 | | | | | | |
| 6 | 1.83E-016 | 2.23E-015 | 100.00 | | | | | | |
| 7 | 9.04E-017 | 1.13E-015 | 100.00 | | | | | | |
| 8 | -1.57E-016 | -2.0E-015 | 100.00 | | | | | | |

As table 4 shows, the gross industrial output, GDP, GDP of the primary, secondary and the tertiary industry have a high load on the primary principal component. The first factor mainly explains these five variables, which can be understood as factors of economic development. Total population, non-agricultural population and total agricultural output value have higher loading on the second principal component. The second factor mainly explains these three variables, which can be understood as population factor. Thus it shows that there are two main factors influencing the evolution of farmland landscape area: economic development and population factor.

Table 4 Principal Component Load Matrix

| | Component | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 |
| Total Population | 0.004 | 0.976 |
| non-agricultural population | 0.651 | 0.740 |
| gross industrial output value | 0.982 | 0.072 |
| total agricultural output value | 0.432 | 0.835 |
| GDP | 0.922 | 0.367 |
| GDP of primary industry | 0.838 | 0.543 |
| GDP of secondary industry | 0.881 | 0.420 |
| GDP of tertiary industry | 0.922 | 0.126 |

4. Conclusion

4.1 The Economic Development is the Most Important Driving Force to the Reduction of Farmland Landscape Area.

With the continuous industrial development of Xi'an city, especially the five administrative districts in the northern foothills of Qinling mountains, as an important area for the industrial development in Xi 'an city, the resident and floating population keeps increasing, and the land demand is increasing year by year. On the one hand, the economic development promotes the expansion of urbanization, which promotes the rural population to gather in cities and towns, and at the same time attracts a large number of migrants to move in. This has led to the construction of a large number of residential and public facilities, which in turn has led to the continuous reduction of farmland. The development of economy should be at the cost of occupying farmland, which also makes a large number of farmland landscape transition to industry and other landscape.

4.2 The Population Increase is the Second Driving Factor to Farmland Landscape Area Reduction.

The northern foothills of Qinling mountains is the fastest area of population growth and urbanization in Xi'an. Population factor is another important driving factor of farmland landscape area change. The economic development in the research area has brought a large number of jobs and a large number of people to work and live in, and led the rural population to gather in the city, prompting a large number of rural populations to change to non-agricultural population. With the development of towns and the construction of supporting public facilities, the farmland landscape changes to the direction of urban residential land and industrial site. Therefore, population increase is also an important driving factor of farmland landscape area reduction.

4.3 The Further Work and Suggestion of This Study

Economic development and population growth are the two most important driving factors for the decrease of farmland landscape area in the study area. This is without taking into account the unreasonable use of land, in fact, due to the unreasonable planning and use of land waste problems also exist. With the development of economy and the growth of population, it is inevitable for farmland to be occupied to reduce the area. However, in order to relieve the pressure of land shortage, we need to pay attention to the rational use and planning of land, so that more economic benefits can be produced on a small amount of land.

Acknowledgement

This research was financially supported by the Educational Science Planning Foundation of Shaanxi Province (SGH17H227).

References

- [1] Bonari, G, Fajmon, K. Management of semi-natural grasslands benefiting both plant and insect diversity: The importance of heterogeneity and tradition. *Agriculture ecosystems & environment*. 246(2017):243-252
- [2] Lin Wenqi; Wu MengHe; Zhang Yue. Regional differences of urbanization in China and its driving factors. *Science China-Earth Sciences*, 61,6(2018):778-791
- [3] Olivier Aznar, Jean-Bernard Marsat. Tourism and Landscape within Multifunctional rural areas: The French Case. *Multifunctional Land Use*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 19(2017):131-158
- [4] A.M. Hersperger and M. Bürgi. Driving Forces of Landscape Change in The Urbanizing Limmat Valley, Switzerland. *Modelling Land-Use Change*, Springer Netherlands, 13(2017):224-247
- [5] Vu Thi Hong Hanh. Canal-side highway in Ho Chi Minh City (HCMC), Vietnam – Issues of urban cultural conservation and tourism development. *GeoJournal*,66, 3 (2006):25-30
- [6] Juan Bellot, Andreu Bonet, Juan Rafael Sanchez. Human Impacts on Land Cover and Water Balances in a Coastal Mediterranean County. *Environmental Management*.39(2007)412–422.